



California Wolf Center
Conservation, Education, Research

**Cascadia
 WILDLANDS**
we like it wild.



September 26, 2013

GRAY WOLF QUESTIONS
USFWS, Headquarters Office
Endangered Species Program
4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 420
Arlington, Virginia 22203

RE: Docket # FWS-HQ-ES-2013-0073
Request for Public Hearings in Seattle, Washington; Portland, Oregon; Ashland,
Oregon; and Los Angeles, California on Gray Wolf Proposed Rule

Director Ashe:

The undersigned organizations, all of which are members of the Pacific Wolf Coalition and which, combined, represent more than 1 million members and supporters in the states of Washington, Oregon and California request additional public hearings be held in the Pacific West region regarding the Service's Proposed Rule for Removing the Gray Wolf (*Canis lupus*) from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife.

We appreciate the Service's recent announcement, in response to the request of many of the undersigned organizations and others across the nation, to extend the comment period until October 28th, and to hold public hearings.

However, the currently-scheduled hearing locations and number of hearings are exceedingly limited. Only three hearings will be held on the delisting proposal -- one in Washington, D.C, one in Albuquerque, New Mexico, and the other in Sacramento, California.

The hearing that is scheduled to take place in Sacramento, California is an excellent location for Northern California residents to attend and to participate in. It's also a suitable location because of the recent visit to California by a dispersing wolf from Oregon and the likelihood that more wolves will disperse to California in the future. However, the hearing in Sacramento, by itself, is not sufficient for public involvement in the Service's proposed rule and eventual decision, because it does not allow for people in Oregon and Washington, where there are currently

nascent wolf populations, to attend and participate. It is also extremely far removed from large population centers in southern California such as Los Angeles and San Diego. In both Oregon and Washington, federal protections for wolves have already been removed in the eastern one-third of each state. Federal delisting in the remaining two-thirds would lift critical protections at a time when they are most needed to remain, to ensure the continued recovery of wolves there.

The Service's proposed rule is of national significance, as it will impact the recovery of an iconic and essential native species that once ranged widely across the country. The rule is also of regional significance to the entire three-state Pacific West region. The Service has, in the past, been petitioned to designate a gray wolf Distinct Population Segment (DPS) in our region. Public support for wolf recovery and other native wildlife is overwhelming in the Pacific West states. Recent regional polling conducted by Tulchin Research shows that over two-thirds of residents in the Pacific West support wolf recovery efforts. Additionally, an overwhelming majority of respondents agreed that federal protection under the Endangered Species Act should continue until wolves are fully recovered. Extensive peer-reviewed published literature exists which demonstrate that the Pacific West contains nearly 145,000 square miles of unoccupied, prime wolf habitat. In its evaluation of the science and decision to reject a Pacific West DPS, the Service arrived at its currently pending proposal to delist the gray wolf across most of the Lower 48, including across the Pacific West. Residents of our region wish to be fully heard on this subject and request the opportunity to do so via public hearings held in Portland, Oregon; Ashland, Oregon; Seattle, Washington; and Los Angeles, California, in addition to the hearing scheduled for Sacramento.

The public cares deeply about this species and its conservation, as a part of our natural heritage and history, because of wolves' key role in wild nature, and because of the unique ecology, biology and behavior of this magnificent apex predator. Federal recovery efforts to date have been widely publicized, and state management of wolves where federal protections have already been lifted has been extremely controversial. For this reason, it is essential that there be adequate opportunity for public comment on the Service's proposed rules. We request that the hearing in Sacramento, California be supplemented by additional hearings in the following locations:


- Portland, Oregon
- Ashland, Oregon
- Seattle, Washington
- Los Angeles, California

Additionally, we request that the Service guarantee each person three minutes of speaking time for public comment. In the case where time becomes limited, we request that the Service extend the public hearing into an additional day rather than reduce the amount of speaking time allotted per commenter.


We note that Washington, D.C. does not have a wolf population nor is it likely to in the future. Since the delisting proposal would remove federal protections for gray wolves in the northeastern states of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont and New York – a region the Service itself previously identified as suitable for designating a gray wolf DPS -- a hearing held in one of those states would have been a more appropriate location than in Washington, D.C. We urge you to consider additional hearings in other states where wolves may return after being long absent.

We look forward to hearing from you regarding our request.

Sincerely,



Amaroq Weiss
West Coast Wolf Organizer
Center for Biological Diversity
aweiss@biologicaldiversity.org
www.biologicaldiversity.org
138,000



Joseph Vaile
Executive Director
Klamath-Siskiyou Wildlands Center
joseph@kswild.org
www.kswild.org
9,000




Lauren Richie
Associate Director, Northern California
California Wolf Center
lauren.richie@californiawolfcenter.org
www.californiawolfcenter.org
9,499




Josh Laughlin
Campaign Director
Cascadia Wildlands
jlaughlin@caswild.org
www.CascWild.org
10,000




Rob Klavins
Wildlife Advocate
Oregon Wild
rob@oregonwild.org
www.oregonwild.org
10,000



Pamela Flick
California Representatives
Defenders of Wildlife
pflick@defenders.org
www.defenders.org
238,300




Jasmine Minbashian
Communications Director
Conservation Northwest
jasmine@conservationnw.org
www.conservationnw.org
4,900



Leda Huta
Executive Director
Endangered Species Coalition
lhuta@stopextinction.org
www.stopextinction.org
7,500




Lisa Moscinski
Deputy Director
Gifford Pinchot Task Force
lisa@gptaskforce.org
www.gptaskforce.org
6,000



Veronica Warnock
Conservation Director
Hells Canyon Preservation Council
veronica@hellscanyon.org
www.hellscanyon.org
1,000



Jim and Jamie Dutcher
Founders
Living with Wolves
gdutcher@livingwithwolves.org
www.livingwithwolves.org



David G. Graves
Northwest Program Manager
National Parks Conservation Association
dgraves@npca.org
www.npca.org
156,679



Sylvia Fallon
Director, Wildlife Conservation Project
National Resource Defense Council
sfallon@nrdc.org
www.nrdc.org
83,282



Wally Sykes
Co-founder
Northeast Oregon Ecosystems
captmayo@eoni.com
68



Brooks Fahy
Executive Director
Predator Defense
brooks@predatordefense.org
www.predatordefense.org
1,500



Camilla Fox
Executive Director
Project Coyote
cfox@projectcoyote.org
www.projectcoyote.org
250



Edward Moreno
Policy Advocate
Sierra Club California
Edward.moreno@sierraclub.org
www.California2.sierraclub.org
380,000



Michael J. Connor, PhD
California Director
Western Watershed Project
mjconnor@westernwatersheds.org
www.westernwatersheds.org
300

Diane K. Gallegos

Diane Gallegos

Executive Director

Wolf Haven International

director@wolfhaven.org

www.wolfhaven.org

3,000